1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney	
2 3	EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney	
6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7210 Fax: (415) 436-7234	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 3 05 70551
13	Plaintiff,)) [PROPOSED] ORDER AND) STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
14	v.) FROM JANUARY 26, 2006 TO MARCH 9, 2006 AND EXCLUDING TIME FROM
15	ANTHONY TRAVIS,) THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
16	Defendant.) 3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1
17) Eliving Civiler Roll 3.1
18	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters	
19	this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of March 9, 2006 at 9:30A.M.	
20	before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the preliminary	
21	hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the	
22	Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from January 26, 2006 to March 9, 2006. The parties	
23	agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:	
24	1. The defendant has been released o	n his own recognizance.
25	2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §	
26	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective	
27	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.	
28	3. The defendant waives the time lim	its of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for

preliminary hearing.

- 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.
- 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from January 26, 2006 to March 9, 2006, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
- 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on March 9, 2006, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from January 26, 2006 to March 9, 2006 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: January 25, 2006 /s RON TYLER

20 Attorney for Defendant

22 DATED: January 25, 2006

ROBERT DAVID REES

Assistant United States Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 26, 2006

HILLIOSEPH C. SPERO

United States Magistrate Judge